

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/400,833	09/21/1999	AKIO NAKAJIMA	991059	3858
38834	7590 07/07/2005		EXAM	INER
	AN, HATTORI, DAN ECTICUT AVENUE, NW	FLORES SANG	CHEZ, OMAR	
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/400,833	NAKAJIMA, AKIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	pril 2005.					
· ·						
	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3 and 19-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3 and 19-31 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 04/18/05.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 2, 3, 20-22 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Raimondi (6216572 B1).

Regarding claims 2, 3 and 20, Raimondi discloses (Fig. 1-4) the invention including a workpiece-machining device 5, a workpiece-storage device (Fig. 2-3), a traveling body 17, rectangular coordinate movement means (19-20), gripping means 18, a product-housing section 22, a material housing section 21, a machining section 4, a rail 16 and a carriage 14.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3724

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 19 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raimondi (6216572 B1) in view of Makeev et al. (3830121).

Raimondi discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a skeleton-housing section for stacking a skeleton. However, Makeev et al. teaches the use of a skeleton-housing section 34 for stacking a skeleton for the purpose of storing the waste material (skeleton). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Raimondi's device by providing the skeleton-housing section as taught by Makeev et al. in order to obtain a device that stores the waste material (skeleton).

6. Claims 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raimondi (6216572 B1) in view of Makeev et al. (3830121).

Raimondi discloses (Fig. 1-4) the invention substantially as claimed including a workpiece-machining device 5, a workpiece-storage device (Fig. 2-3), a traveling body 17, rectangular coordinate movement means (19-20), gripping means 18, a product-housing section 22, a material housing section 21, a machining section 4, a rail 16, a carriage 14. Raimondi does not show a skeleton-housing section for stacking a skeleton. However, Makeev et al. teaches the use of a skeleton-housing section 34 for stacking a skeleton for the purpose of storing the waste material (skeleton). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Raimondi's device by providing the skeleton-housing

Application/Control Number: 09/400,833

Art Unit: 3724

section as taught by Makeev et al. in order to obtain a device that stores the waste material

(skeleton).

Response to Arguments

Page 4

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs

6/30/05

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examinar

Group 3700